1	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF ILLINOIS		
2	EASTERN DIVISION		
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,)		
4	·)		
5	Plaintiff,) Docket No. 13 CR 515		
6	VS.)		
7	DMITRY FIRTASH and ANDRAS) KNOPP,) Chicago, Illinois		
8) July Ž, 2018 Defendants.) 9:08 a.m.		
9	TDANCODIDE OF DDOCEDINGS OF attract		
10	TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS - Status BEFORE THE HONORABLE REBECCA R. PALLMEYER		
11	APPEARANCES:		
12			
13	For the Plaintiff: HON. JOHN R. LAUSCH JR.		
14	UNITED STATES ATTORNEY BY: MR. AMARJEET S. BHACHU		
15	219 South Dearborn Street Chicago, Illinois 60604		
16	UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE BY: MR. CHRISTOPHER J. CESTARO		
17	1400 New York Ave. NW		
18	Washington, DC 20530		
19	For the Defendant WINSTON & STRAWN LLP Dmitry Firtash: BY: MR. DAN K. WEBB		
20	MR. MATTHEW R. CARTER 35 West Wacker Drive		
21	Chicago, Illinois 60601		
22	For the Defendant LAW OFFICES OF CAROLYN GURLAND		
23	Andras Knopp: BY: MS. CAROLYN PELLING GURLAND 414 North Clay Street		
24	Hinsdale, Illinois 60521		
25			

1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	Court Reporter:	FRANCES WARD CSR RPR RMR FCRR
23		FRANCES WARD, CSR, RPR, RMR, FCRR Official Court Reporter 219 S. Dearborn Street, Suite 2144D Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 435-5561
24		Chicago, Illinois 60604 (312) 435-5561
25		frances_ward@ilnd.uscourts.gov

1 THE CLERK: 13 CR 515, United States versus Dmitry 2 Firtash and Andras Knopp for status. 3 MR. BHACHU: Good morning, your Honor. 4 Amar Bhachu and Chris Cestaro -- his last name is spelled C-e-s-t-a-r-o -- on behalf of the United States. 5 6 THE COURT: Good morning. 7 MR. WEBB: Your Honor, Dan Webb and Matt Carter on 8 behalf of the Defendant Dmitry Firtash. 9 THE COURT: Good morning. 10 MS. GURLAND: Good morning, your Honor. 11 Carolyn Gurland on behalf of Andras Knopp. 12 THE COURT: Okay. And what's our status? 13 I know that I got letters from counsel earlier in 14 the summer. 15 What's our current status? I am going to give you a quick status. 16 MR. WEBB: 17 THE COURT: Okay. 18 MR. WEBB: This stuff is complicated in Austria, 19 but I'm going to give you a quick status. 20 And thank you for hearing us today, because this 21 status is a little more imminent than earlier ones, which I 22 updated you in letters. 23 THE COURT: Right. 24 MR. WEBB: And I do appreciate you considering it. THE COURT: 25 Right.

MR. WEBB: So, as your Honor knows, we got this motion pending that was fully briefed at the end of August. You gave us two days of argument, which we have had, and I have continued to give you updates.

The status is the following: That there is this sount in the FU colled the Count of Justice of the Function

The status is the following: That there is this court in the EU called the Court of Justice of the European Union. It has before it a case that is called XC and Others. That is a case that actually is considering at least what I'm told is a very critical issue that affects my client's case before the Austrian Supreme Court.

That is a case that is deciding the applicability of the EU Charter on Human Rights to extraordinary appeal proceedings before the Austrian Supreme Court, which my client currently has a case before the Austrian Supreme Court, which I'm going to use the word "stayed," and it's not active right now. They are waiting for this decision to come down.

That decision is going to come down, and we know it's going to come down because of the following.

THE COURT: Before you tell me that, let me just ask one question and make sure I understand this.

This case, XC and Others, that's in the EU Court of Justice -- Court of Justice of the European Union is addressing -- is considering a procedure that's in the Austrian Supreme Court. It's directed at the same court that

involves your client.

MR. WEBB: That's correct.

THE COURT: All right. Go ahead.

MR. WEBB: Thank you. Yes, your Honor.

So we know what's likely to happen because on June 5th, a couple weeks ago -- June 5th, an opinion came down from that court. It's called an advisory opinion, and it's issued by someone called the Advocate General, who is some type of official with that court.

And that opinion states, in essence, that there is no obligation for the Austrian Supreme Court to apply the ECU Charter on Human Rights in a case like Dmitry has -- Dmitry Firtash has before the Austrian Supreme Court. That's a significant decision.

Now, that is an advisory opinion. However, I am told by the Austrian lawyers that this European court will almost always follow that advisory opinion. And so it's highly likely that the Court of Justice of the European Union is going to follow the advisory opinion and enter the opinion I just described to you.

When that happens, I'm told that Mr. Firtash's Austrian Supreme Court case will become reactivated immediately before the Austrian Supreme Court and that he will face extradition shortly. I'm told maybe the end of August or in September.

Now, nobody knows these things for sure, but the reason they asked me to come before you as opposed to just doing a letter update is because this is, at least they believe, much more imminent that he will face extradition.

And as your Honor knows when we argued this, we are obviously hoping that if we got a decision on these critical venue and jurisdiction issues, it would have a profound impact on whether this man gets put on a plane back to the U.S. and is maybe incarcerated.

So that's why they asked me to come here, and that's the update. We are just updating you, and asking you whether it's possible to expedite or where things stand. That's why we came. And we appreciate the chance to give you the update.

THE COURT: Anything -- Ms. Gurland, do you want to add anything on behalf of Mr. Knopp?

MS. GURLAND: Nothing specific, your Honor.

I wrote a letter and sent it to the Court along with the letter from Mr. Firtash. And all of the facts in that letter remain the same, although he will be 80 on September 1st, and he remains not in the best of health. And he remains in a situation where he is in Moscow not having access to his doctors, who are in Budapest, and not having as regular contact as he would like to have with his family, who are either in Budapest or in London. That was the situation

as I explained it in the letter, and that remains the situation today, other than, of course, he is older.

THE COURT: Got it.

For the government?

MR. BHACHU: Judge, what I just observed is that the initial motions to dismiss in this case were filed, I believe, last May. And since that time, we have been told several times by, I think, Austrian counsel for Mr. Firtash that things were about to happen. And I think I told you when we appeared on the motion to dismiss it's pretty difficult to figure out exactly what's going on in Europe.

After that point in time, we had several updates where Austrian counsel, I think, for Mr. Firtash suggested something was about to happen and then something wasn't about to happen and then something was about to happen.

I would just say to your Honor that, in my view, it's been very difficult to figure out exactly what the course of proceedings in Austria is.

It is correct that there was an advisory opinion that was issued several weeks ago, but the timeline within which the European court will then rule, after having received that recommendation -- so what it is, is it's a recommendation basically about how they should rule. Probably much the same way as a magistrate might submit a recommendation to your Honor.

THE COURT: Right.

MR. BHACHU: The timeline under which the European court will rule is indeterminate.

I think I could project two things happening for sure.

One is that Mr. Firtash's Austrian counsel, if he is unsuccessful in his appeal to the Austrian Supreme Court, will attempt to take a further appeal to the European Court of Human Rights. That's the kind of standard playbook for people trying to avoid extradition to the United States.

And so that process -- whether or not that process would result in a further delay in his extradition is unknown at this point in time. And that's something that would really depend upon the decision of the Austrian government. They might decide to let him stay in Austria pending a decision from the European Court of Human Rights, which could take another two to three years, or they could ship him back pending that decision. It's just not known at this juncture.

The other thing I just want to stress with regard to these motions, which we made at this point before, I also suspect that Austrian counsel would likely, if there was any sort of ruling from this court, use it as an opportunity to try, as they are doing with the other proceedings in Europe, to restart the extradition process in Austria anew. So that if your Honor issued some sort of adverse ruling to the

government here and we were able to cure it promptly through a superseding indictment or something like that -- I don't think we need to do that, obviously, for the reasons we already talked about. But what I imagine would happen is, there would be an effort to restart the extradition process in Austria, thus delaying potential extradition for another four years.

So my point is, I think that it's really indeterminate to know what's going on in Austria right now. There are proceedings that are still pending, but I don't think it's fair to say that we know exactly when those proceedings are going to conclude.

MR. WEBB: I would agree that there is uncertainty. I agree with that. Although there is no question this development in June is a big deal over there. And if that Austrian Supreme Court in two weeks orders him extradited, at least I'm told that that court is not likely to stay things any further because of an appeal to this human rights court. I can't tell you that for certain, but I do know there is clearly a sense of immediacy and concern.

And I appreciate you hearing us.

THE COURT: Okay. So I interpret what I am hearing as, although there may or may not have been a reason for urgency before this, there certainly is, at least from defendant's perspective, now.

1 I don't believe that it makes any sense for me to 2 delay a whole lot longer in ruling. I recognize there may or 3 may not be any genuine urgency for the reasons that 4 Mr. Bhachu mentioned. But it's been briefed. I'm in a 5 position to rule on it now, so that's what I will do. 6 Recognizing that you have got two weeks or four 7 weeks, or whatever it might be, why don't you let me know if 8 anything else does happen. You can do that even by a letter, 9 as you have done. 10 And I'm assuming that there is no big change in the 11 law between now and when it was last briefed. If there is 12 something new that's come down that you want me to know 13 about, I want to give you about seven days to do that. So if 14 there is something new that you want to add to your briefs, 15 please do so immediately. 16 MR. WEBB: I believe the answer is no, there is 17 nothing new that we have to add. 18 THE COURT: That's my expectation as well. I have 19 been kind of following the law, and I don't see anything 20 particularly new. 21 MR. WEBB: We don't either. 22 THE COURT: If there is something I don't know 23 about, you can let me know. 24 MS. GURLAND: We will look, your Honor. 25 As we had mentioned at the oral argument, that *RJR*

1 Nabisco case was relatively new at the time. And one of the 2 points that we had argued was that there was relatively 3 little guidance to federal courts about how they should 4 interpret this, and it's relatively complicated because it 5 applies differently to RICO than to money laundering than to 6 Travel Act. So there is some chance that some federal courts have come down with some guidance. And if that is true, we 7 8 will get you something in seven days, as you have asked. THE COURT: Okay. 9 That's great. 10 MR. BHACHU: Very good, Judge. 11 THE COURT: All right. Thank you. 12 MR. WEBB: Thank you, your Honor. 13 14 I certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. 15 16 /s/ Frances Ward July 30, 2018. Official Court Reporter 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25